

United States Patent and Trademark Office

y

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ΑT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N 1-2002.016 US 5168		
10/527,767	09/12/2005	William Baxendale				
31846 INTERVET IN	7590 12/26/2007			EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			<u> </u>	HURT, SHARON L		
PO BOX 318	PO BOX 318 MILLSBORO, DE 19966-0318			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILLSBORO	, DE 19900-0310		, 1648			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			_	12/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/527,767	BAXENDALE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sharon Hurt	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 O</u>	ctober 2007.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFI					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

10/527,767 Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendments to the claims filed October 4, 2007 have been acknowledged and entered. Claims 4-7 and 9-10 are currently amended.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1 and 4-10 are pending and under examination.

Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 4-7 and 9-10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is withdrawn pursuant applicant's amendments.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The deposit information must be referred to in the body of the specification and be identified by deposit (accession) number, name and address of the depository, and the complete taxonomic description. See 37 CFR 1.809(d). Appropriate correction is required.

New Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

10/527,767 Art Unit: 1648

possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection, rather than an enablement rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

Vas-Cath Inc. V. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, states that Applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention, for purposes of the written description inquiry, is whatever is now claimed (see page 1117). A review of the language of the claim indicates that these claims are drawn to a genus, i.e. a CastV-2 that is able to induce antiserum that neutralizes the deposited virus.

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof.

A description of a genus may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of species falling within the scope of the genus or of a recitation of structural features common to the members of the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly & Co., 119 F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997). In Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly (43 USPQ2d 1398-1412), the court held that a generic statement which defines a genus of nucleic

10/527,767

Art Unit: 1648

acids by only their functional activity does not provide an adequate written description of the genus. The court indicated that, while applicants are not required to disclose every species encompassed by a genus, the description of the genus is achieved by the recitation of a representative number of species falling within the scope of the claimed genus. At section B(1), the court states An adequate written description of a DNA ... requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties, not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention.

There is a single species of the claimed genus disclosed that is within the scope of the claimed genus, i.e. CAstV-2 deposited under the accession number I-2923 at the Collection Nationale de Cultures de Microorganisms of the Institute Pasteur, Paris, France. The disclosure of a single disclosed species may provide an adequate written description of a genus when the species disclosed is representative of the genus. However, the present claim encompasses numerous species that are not further described. There could be substantial variability among the species while still maintaining the ability to generate antiserum as claimed. In the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing characteristics, particularly which structural features must be conserved to maintain the recited functional characteristic, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus, which is a CastV-2 that is able to induce antiserum that neutralizes the deposited virus. One of skill in the art would not recognize from the disclosure that the applicant was in possession of the genus. The specification does not clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed (see Vas-Cath at page 1116). Applicant is reminded that Vas-

10/527,767 Art Unit: 1648

Cath makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

The skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed structure of a genus of compounds that are contemplated in the invention. Conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the structures disclosed in the as-filed specification. Thus, in view of the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would not have recognized that applicant was in possession of the claimed invention as presently claimed.

Conclusion

Due to the new grounds of rejection, this action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Hurt whose telephone number is 571-272-3334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/527,767 Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sharon Hurt

December 20, 2007

/Bruce Campell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648